

Tuesday, February 1, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. T. Allen*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Tisdalle*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Carnell*, the following notice of motion standing on the Order Paper in his name was withdrawn:—

Whereas the reconstruction and paving of the Alaska Highway is of both national and international importance to Canada and the United States of America, including Alaska, and will attract substantial flow of tourist and commercial traffic from all parts of the United States and Canada:

And whereas the economical desirability of the projected paving of the Alaska Highway has already been studied and reported upon by the Battelle Memorial Institute as sound and feasible:

Therefore be it Resolved, That the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia strongly urge the Government of Canada to instigate immediate negotiations with the United States of America to determine an equitable sharing of costs with the objective of an early start of a complete paving programme for the entire length of the Alaska Highway within British Columbia and Yukon Territory.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, on behalf of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance), presented the Mental Health Services Branch Annual Report for 12 months ended March 31, 1965.

**30** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to completed Contract No. 1023, Department of Highways Annual Report, 1962/63:—

1. Has a final payment been made on this contract?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount finally paid?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, what is the amount paid to the contractor to date?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.

“ 3. Continental Contractors Limited, \$154,403.89; Mannix Limited, \$1,306,-665.08; totalling, \$1,461,068.97.”

**40** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

How much money was spent on the Dawson Creek–Clayhurst Road for maintenance and construction during the fiscal years 1960/61, 1961/62, 1962/63, 1963/64, and 1964/65?

The Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi* replied as follows:—

“1960/61, maintenance, \$7,880, construction \$42,500; 1961/62, maintenance, \$22,239, construction, *nil*; 1962/63, maintenance, \$7,841, construction, *nil*; 1963/64, maintenance, \$7,021, construction, \$38,396; 1964/65, maintenance, \$8,444, construction, \$65,000.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.47 p.m.

---

## Tuesday, February 1, 1966

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Turner*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.45 p.m.

---

## Wednesday, February 2, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. E. Bergbusch*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. W. K. Kiernan, seconded by the Hon. R. G. Williston, it was *Ordered*,—

That a Special Committee be named by those responsible for listing Select Standing Committees of this House to examine and report their recommendations to the Legislature on the following matters in the order of priority as set forth:—

- (1) The application of Western Mines for a townsite within the boundaries of Strathcona Provincial Park;
- (2) To receive, review, and report on a Special Report on Fisheries Concerning the Jurisdictional and Administrative Management of the Commercial Fisheries of British Columbia and the Major Problems Associated with the Management of the Resource;
- (3) To review the progress made to date in relation to public access and use of privately operated roads.

With power to send for persons, papers, and records and hear such representations as may, in their discretion, appear pertinent to the above matters.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston, seconded by the Hon. W. K. Kiernan, it was *Ordered*,—

Resolved, That the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries be convened to receive the listed reports requested last Session from the Forest Service as follows:—

- (a) A report on the operation of the 30–50 per cent contract clause in tree-farm licence documents;
- (b) A report on the additional management requirements for those quota-holders in public sustained-yield units where cutting rights are confined to a small number of operators;
- (c) A report on the effects of quota exchanges relative to costs of production, community development, and use of manpower;
- (d) A report on the steps taken to initiate sustained-yield management in the region north of the Fraser River and east of Howe Sound;
- (e) A report on the problem of management of temporary tenures;
- (f) A report on the steps taken to initiate a programme of close utilization of Crown timber in public sustained-yield units.

The Committee shall also be asked to study

- (a) the proposed regulations governing the close utilization of the timber resources both on the Coast and in the Interior;
- (b) the problems associated with the efficient salvage of driftwood from the waters and beaches along the coast, to determine whether any changes are required in either legislation or regulations to assist in securing more complete use of such wood.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.35 p.m.

## Thursday, February 3, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Very Rev. Father *Philip Hanley*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Bruch*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

**3** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in the placing of the British Columbia Electric Company under public ownership and the Attorney-General's answer to Question No. 124 recorded in the 1964 Journals of this House:—

1. Have any further costs been paid by the Crown?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the individuals or firms who received the further costs and in what amounts were they paid?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

"1. See answer to Question No. 153 recorded in the 1965 Journals of the House. No further costs since then.

"2. See answer to Question No. 153 recorded in the 1965 Journals of the House."

**41** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. How many soil surveys samples were submitted to the Department for analysis during the fiscal year 1964/65?

2. Since the Department started charging a fee for soil sample reports how much revenue has been collected for this service during the fiscal year 1964/65?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

"1. Number of soil samples submitted for analysis during 1965 calendar year, 2,690.

"2. Revenue derived during 1965 calendar year for soil testing, \$5,247."

**46** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—  
With respect to traffic fatalities and injuries in the year ended December 31, 1965:—

1. How many fatalities occurred on the highways of British Columbia?

2. How many injuries occurred on the highways of British Columbia?

3. What was the estimated cost in terms of property damage?



The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“Motor-vehicle accident statistics for the year 1965 are as follows: Accidents, 40,085; vehicles involved, 70,118; killed, 500; injured, 17,562; fatal accidents, 421; accidents (personal injury), 11,547; property damage accidents, 28,117; property damage, \$22,592,741.97; deaths per 10,000 vehicles registered, 6.5; deaths per 100 million miles, 8.1; accidents per 1,000 vehicles registered, 52.44; and fatal accidents per 100 million miles, 6.84.”

**47** Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

With respect to traffic fatalities and injuries in the year ended December 31, 1965, how many deaths or injuries occurred on the roads of British Columbia where the principal cause was (a) excessive speeding, (b) mechanical defects, (c) impaired driving, (d) blow-outs, and (e) highway obstructions?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“An analysis of traffic fatalities, and deaths and injuries arising out of traffic incidents, during the period January to November 30, 1965, by contributing factors, is as follows:—

	Total Accidents	Fatal Accidents	Personal Injury Accidents	Property Damage Only
Careless driving (including speeding).....	5,926	107	2,166	3,653
Impaired drivers.....	1,062	54	346	662
Obstruction in road.....	475	4	122	349
Road under repair.....	468	6	134	328
Puncture or blow-out.....	467	4	145	318
Brakes or steering defective.....	1,267	9	397	861 "

**53** Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

With respect to grants to agriculture, since 1953 how much has been contributed by the Government of Canada in the form of conditional grants to the agriculture industry of British Columbia?

The Hon. F. X. Richter replied as follows:—

“Payments received from the Federal Government under shared-cost arrangements, 1953/54 to 1964/65, inclusive, \$1,601,844.”

**92** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to individuals' driving records kept by the Motor-vehicle Branch:—

1. Were any searches made of these records at the request of insurance companies and (or) anyone else during the fiscal year 1964/65 and since March 31, 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of searches made in each relevant period and (b) what was the total number of searches made at the request of insurance companies?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) The total number of searches made during the fiscal year 1964/65 was 52,284, and for the period April 1, 1965, to December 31, 1965, 58,010 and (b) the total number of searches made at the request of insurance companies during the fiscal year 1964/65 was 48,721, and for the period April 1, 1965, to December 31, 1965, 55,216.”

**94** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following question:—

Concerning recent breakdown of supply of natural gas to communities in South Peace River District due to broken transmission-line, what control does the Department exercise over the companies concerned to guarantee uninterrupted delivery?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“The Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources has no authority to exercise control over gas pipe-line companies. However, when such companies find that the supply of gas to communities is inadequate due to extremely cold weather, or to mechanical failures, the Department grants the producers permission to produce gas wells at rates in excess of the normal individual well daily-production limits. The only British Columbia gasfield tied to the South Peace River District after the recent pipe-line failure was the Dawson Creek field. Permission was granted to overproduce this field until the pipe-line was repaired. The remaining sources of supply were the Pouce Coupe and South Pouce Coupe fields situated in Alberta, over which the Department has no control.”

**97** Mr. *Gargrave* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Are any Magistrates in the Province members of the British Columbia Law Society and, if so, how many?

2. What is the total number of Magistrates in the Province?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“1. As of January 31, 1966, 55 Magistrates in the Province hold law degrees or are qualified to practise law. In addition, there are three vacancies, all of which will, in the normal course, be filled by legally trained men.

“2. As of January 31, 1966, 205 Magistrates, sitting in (a) nine District Magistracies over each of which, with one exception, a legally trained District Magistrate presides and (b) Greater Vancouver and the Fraser Valley.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.45 p.m.

---

**Thursday, February 3, 1966**

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.55 p.m.

---

## Friday, February 4, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. A. Beaton.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 3, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

### (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. R. W. Bonner, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act.*

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Survivorship and Presumption of Death Act.*

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plans Cancellation Act.*

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Special Surveys Act.*

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act.*

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto.*

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*January 27, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Price* presented the petition of the City of Vancouver for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* the Rules were suspended and the petition of the City of Vancouver was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Price* presented the petition of Peter Arthur Manson, Paul Ashton Jaffary, and Hans Bjorn Hareid for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Peter Arthur Manson, Paul Ashton Jaffary, and Hans Bjorn Hareid was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Price* presented the petition of Frederick Kirkman, John Ross Johnston, William John Bell, Bert Henry Woodward, and Walter Daniel Evans for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Frederick Kirkham, John Ross Johnston, William John Bell, Bert Henry Woodward, and Walter Daniel Evans was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Price* presented the petition of Francis Dominic Farrell, Philip Knight, and Brian Milton Rudkin for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Francis Dominic Farrell, Philip Knight, and Brian Milton Rudkin was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Price* presented the petition of Guy Young Keetch, Boyden Lees Wood, Kendall D. Garff, Carl Roland Jonsson, Roger J. West, and William Hamilton Mulholland for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Major Life & Casualty Company of Canada*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Guy Young Keetch, Boyden Lees Wood, Kendall D. Garff, Carl Roland Jonsson, Roger J. West, and William Hamilton Mulholland was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Price* presented the petition of Floyd MacIntyre and Elora MacIntyre for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Floyd MacIntyre and Elora MacIntyre was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Bruch* presented the petition of Fergus O'Grady, O.M.I., Bishop of Prince Rupert, for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George*.

On the motion of Mr. *Bruch* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Fergus O'Grady, O.M.I., Bishop of Prince Rupert, was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Carnell*, on behalf of Mr. *Matthew*, presented the petition of the Vancouver Policemen's Union for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Carnell* the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Vancouver Policemen's Union was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Carnell*, on behalf of Mr. *Matthew*, presented the petition of the Canadian Society of Radiological Technicians, British Columbia Division, for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Radiological Technique and Respecting Radiological Technicians*.

On the motion of Mr. *Carnell* the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Canadian Society of Radiological Technicians, British Columbia Division, was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Speare* presented the petition of The Granby Mining Company Limited for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*.

On the motion of Mr. *Speare* the Rules were suspended and the petition of The Granby Mining Company Limited was *Ordered* received.

Mr. *Vogel* presented the petition of Seaboard Life Insurance Company for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*.

On the motion of Mr. *Vogel* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Seaboard Life Insurance Company was *Ordered* received.

Mr. MacSorley presented the petition of John A. Grimmett, V. Howard Chadwick, Helen W. Martin, Murray A. Edworthy, John P. Wellwood, Harry N. Watson, and J. Stuart Gifford for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. MacSorley the Rules were suspended and the petition of John A. Grimmett, V. Howard Chadwick, Helen W. Martin, Murray A. Edworthy, John P. Wellwood, Harry N. Watson, and J. Stuart Gifford was *Ordered* received.

Mr. Skillings presented the petition of the Victoria Foundation for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Skillings the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Victoria Foundation was *Ordered* received.

Mr. Skillings presented the petition of the Association of Professional Engineers of the Province of British Columbia for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Professional Engineering*.

On the motion of Mr. Skillings the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Association of Professional Engineers of the Province of British Columbia was *Ordered* received.

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell (Minister of Municipal Affairs) presented the Department of Municipal Affairs Report for the year ended December 31, 1965.

**1** Mr. Gargrave asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31, 1965?

2. What was the total number of prisoners held at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“ 1. 482.

“ 2. 868.”

**2** Mr. Gargrave asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

What was the average *per diem* cost of keeping a prisoner at Oakalla Gaol in 1965?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“ For the year ended March 31, 1965, \$8.45.”

**98** Mr. Gargrave asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

What was the total number of Provincial employees who were employed exclusively in the capacity of treating narcotic addicts as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“ Thirteen, plus a part-time psychiatrist.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.37 p.m.

**Monday, February 7, 1966**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Canon *B. T. Page*.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* made the following statement:—

“It is with deep regret that we have learned of the serious illness of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor of British Columbia. His Honour was taken ill last Friday in Vernon, where he was about to carry out another of those many official duties which he has always performed so willingly and so graciously. However, we are heartened by the latest report, that he has passed a comfortable night in the hospital at Vernon. We offer to him and to Mrs. Pearkes the warmest wishes of this House for his speedy and complete recovery.”

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* moved, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*,—

“That the members of this Legislative Assembly offer to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor George R. Pearkes and to Mrs. Pearkes their warmest wishes for his speedy and complete recovery.”

Motion agreed to *nem. con.*

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

*Honourable Members*,—On Thursday afternoon last at the opening of the sitting, a point of order was raised by the honourable member for Oak Bay, whereby a ruling was requested on whether or not Select Standing Committees may, at their organizational meeting, by reference to the House, request that certain matters and things be referred to them for consideration and report.

Standing Committees are those Sessional Committees which are appointed beforehand for the consideration of all subjects of a particular class arising in the course of a Session. The tendency of modern practice is to refer to Committees all matters requiring the taking of evidence and laborious investigation. In this way the House is able to simplify its proceedings and make greater progress with the public business. (Bourinot, 4th edition, pages 454, 455.)

Select Standing Committees of the House are appointed by motion at the commencement of each Session in accordance with Standing Order No. 68, and such Committees are empowered by that motion “to examine and inquire into all such matters and things as shall be referred to them by this House . . .”

May, 17th edition, at page 643, states that “Select Committees are regarded as portions of the House, limited in their inquiries by the extent of the authority given them . . .” and Bourinot at page 464 expresses an identical Rule.

Mr. Speaker Carson deals partially with the subject at page 147 of the 1948 Journals of this House, and he determined “No matter or thing having been referred to the Committee by this House, it necessarily follows that this House may not receive a report from said Committee.”

Further, May, 17th edition, at page 641, states that “A Select Committee, like a Committee of the whole House, possesses no authority except that which it derives by delegation from the House by which it was appointed. When a Select Committee is appointed to consider or inquire into a matter, the scope of its deliberations or

inquiries is defined by the order by which the Committee is appointed (termed the order of reference), and the deliberations or inquiries of the Committee must be confined within the limits of the order of reference."

It is axiomatic therefore, and a clear principle of parliamentary law, that in the absence of an order of reference which would lay specific matters or things before a Committee, Select Standing Committees are powerless to act, and can neither initiate, recommend, nor otherwise request that the House refer a matter to them for consideration and report.

Honourable members who desire that specific matters be referred by the House to a Select Standing Committee have procedures available to them in the House to seek such a referral. However, the procedure initiated within the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters by the honourable member for Oak Bay was not in order, and I so rule.

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

An appeal was taken from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The Speaker's ruling was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Price</i>			

NAYS—16

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Gibson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Dowding</i>

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. P. A. Gagliardi, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.



Pursuant to Order, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented the following report:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 7, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

In accordance with the resolution that a Special Committee be named to examine and report their recommendations to the Legislature on the following matters in the order of priority set forth:—

- (1) The application of Western Mines for a townsite within the boundaries of Strathcona Provincial Park:
- (2) To receive, review, and report on a Special Report on Fisheries Concerning the Jurisdictional and Administrative Management of the Commercial Fisheries of British Columbia and the Major Problems Associated with the Management of the Resource:
- (3) To review the progress made to date in relation to public access and use of privately operated roads.

Your Special Committee appointed on January 27th to prepare and report lists of members to compose the Select Standing Committees of this House for the present Session begs to report and recommend that the personnel of that Special Committee of the House for the present Session be as follows:—

Messrs. *Speare* (Convener), *Bruch*, *MacSorley*, *Price*, *Tisdalle*, *Vogel*, the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Messrs. *Strachan*, *Calder*, *Eddie*, *Nimsick*, and *McKay*.

Respectfully submitted.

R. W. BONNER, *Chairman*.

The report was taken as read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report was adopted.

**45** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With respect to traffic fatalities and injuries in the year ended December 31, 1965:—

1. Did the Government of British Columbia undertake any study of the cause of traffic fatalities and injuries on the roads and highways of British Columbia?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the cost of the study and (b) were recommendations submitted to the Government?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ See Votes and Proceedings, February 3, 1966, page 2, answer to Question No. 47. The Department has had the benefit of a variety of studies published in other jurisdictions and is aware of two private studies currently being undertaken in the Province.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 8, 1966**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *C. T. Alberry*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented the Report to the Special Committee on Fisheries Concerning the Jurisdictional and Administrative Management of the Commercial Fisheries of British Columbia and the Major Problems Associated with the Management of the Resource.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, seconded by the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture to inquire into the availability of farm labour as requested by farm organizations such as the B.C. Federation of Agriculture with a view to ascertaining what steps might be taken to meet this problem and to report its findings to this House.

**99** Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to bridges in the Atlin constituency:—

1. Were any bridges repaired in 1965/66?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what roads are they located, and what was the total cost of repairs of each bridge?
3. Were any bridges rebuilt in 1965/66?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what roads are they located, and what was the total cost of construction to each bridge?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Bear Creek, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$132; Hitchcock, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$169; Base Camp Bridge, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$172; Glacier Creek, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$14; Fourth of July Bridge, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$110; Birch Creek, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$136; Telegraph Creek, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$131; Cormior Bridge, Stewart-Cassiar Highway, \$21; Halfway Bridge, Spruce Creek Road, \$25; Pine Creek Bridge, O'Donnell River Road, \$82; Burnt Creek Bridge, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$242; McKee Creek, O'Donnell River Road, \$147; Trout Line Bridge, Stewart-Cassiar Highway, \$125; Indian River Bridge, Atlin Highway No. 2, \$147; Surprise Lake Bridge, Surprise Lake Road, \$12; Spruce Creek Bridge, Spruce Creek Road, \$30; Gate Bridge, Surprise Lake Road, \$12; Vance Bridge, Telegraph Creek village, \$36; Dedeah Bridge, Telegraph Creek village—Dease

Lake Road, \$153; Rescue Creek Bridge, Stewart-Cassiar Highway, \$1,074; Beady Creek Bridge, Stewart-Cassiar Highway, \$50; Rainey Creek Bridge, 72<sup>r</sup> Arterial Stewart Highway, \$40.77; Cullen Creek Bridge, Stewart-Watson Lake Highway No. 31, \$248.98; Bitter Creek Bridge, Stewart-Watson Lake Highway No. 31, \$421.77; and Bear River No. 1, Stewart-Watson Lake Highway No. 31, \$6,288.48.

"3. No.

"4. Answered by No. 3."

**100** Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to roads in the Atlin constituency:—

1. Was any money spent in 1965/66 in road construction and repairs, including snow removal, on any of the following roads: (a) Atlin Highway, (b) Atlin-Surprise Lake Road, (c) Atlin-Spruce Creek Road, (d) Atlin village roads, (e) Lower Post village roads, (f) Telegraph Creek village roads, (g) Telegraph Creek-Glenora Road, (h) Telegraph Creek-Dease Lake Road, (i) Stewart village roads, (j) Stewart-Hyder Road, (k) Stewart-Bear River Road, (l) Cassiar to Stewart-Cassiar Junction Road, (m) Stewart-Cassiar Junction to Alaska Highway, (n) Stewart-Cassiar Junction to Stikine River Crossing Road, (o) Stikine River Crossing-Burrage River Road, (p) Alice Arm-Dolly Varden Road, (q) Alice Arm Shore Road, and (r) Alice Arm village roads?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount spent on each road?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) \$9,599, (b) \$569, (c) \$224, (d) \$108, (e) *nil*, (f) \$2,475, (g) \$114, (h) \$14,719, (i) \$9,799.45, (j) \$5,560.04, (k) \$16,750.24, (l) \$1,988, (m) \$78,696, (n) \$12,864, (o) *nil*, (p) \$17,039.54, (q) \$248.76, and (r) \$264.66."

**102** Mr. *Tisdalle* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

How many miles of reconstruction is planned, if any, for the Pat Bay Highway in the coming fiscal year?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

"No information available at this time."

**103** Mr. *Tisdalle* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

How much money has been spent during 1964, to date, on the reconstruction of the Pat Bay Highway, beginning at the Swartz Bay Terminal boundary and proceeding southward?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

"\$70,689.39."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.48 p.m.

## Tuesday, February 8, 1966

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Divorce and Matrimonial Causes Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.29 p.m.

---

## Wednesday, February 9, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. Van Druten*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *McKay*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Matthew* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Second Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Radiological Technique and Respecting Radiological Technicians* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Third Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* have been complied

with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fourth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 4

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Professional Engineering* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fifth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 5

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Sixth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 6

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Seventh Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 7

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Eighth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 8

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* have been complied with, except for proof of publication of notice. However, your Committee recom-

mends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill subject to your Committee being satisfied as to due publication of notice.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Ninth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 9

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*, have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Tenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 10

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*, have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.



Mr. *Matthew* presented the Eleventh Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 11

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George* have been complied with, except for proof of publication of notice. However, your Committee recommends (a) that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill subject to your Committee being satisfied as to proper publication of notice and (b) that Standing Order 100 (2) be suspended to permit your Committee to consider as sufficient publication notices published on a Thursday rather than Wednesday as prescribed by Standing Order 100 (2).

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Twelfth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 12

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Thirteenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 13

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Major Life & Casualty Company of*

Canada have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. Matthew presented the Fourteenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 14

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 9, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*, have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

**96** Mr. Eddie asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Were any cases presented to the Workmen's Compensation Counsellor during the year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many cases were presented?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. 327."

**101** Mr. Calder asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. Are any mining claims registered in the names of any of the following companies: Totem Mining Company Limited, Metot Mining Company Limited, Julian Mining Company Limited, and Mart Mining Company Limited?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in the case of each company (a) how many claims are filed and (b) where are the claims located?

The Hon. D. L. Brothers replied as follows:—

"1. According to the records on file as of February 8, 1966, in the Central Records Office of the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, mineral claims are held under the *Mineral Act* in the names of Metot Minerals Limited and Julian Mining Company Limited. No mineral claims are held in the names of Totem Minerals Limited or Mart Mining Company Limited.

"2. Totem Minerals Limited: (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1.

"Metot Minerals Limited: (a) 22 claims and (b) located approximately 48 miles south-easterly from Tulsequah on the shore of Tatsamenie Lake.

"Julian Mining Company Limited: (a) 151 claims and (b) 58 claims located north and east of Francois Lake, 20 claims located at Fenton Creek north-west of Owen Lake, 16 claims located approximately 3½ miles south of Savory, 23 claims located in the Skeena River-Galore Creek area, 4 claims located approximately 10 miles south of Letain Lake, 8 claims located 16 miles south-east of Turnagain Lake, 22 claims located 13 miles south-east of King Salmon Lake.

"Mart Mining Company Limited: (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1."

**106** Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. Was there any expenditure by the Provincial Government in 1965/66 on the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in what sections of the road were the moneys spent, and how much?

3. With respect to each section of the road, what was the name of the company or companies involved and what amounts were paid to these companies?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Strohn Creek-Bell-Irving River, \$256,100.48; South Bell-Irving River-North Bell-Irving River, \$717,024.78; and Bell-Irving River No. 1, \$49,483.

"3. Strohn Creek-Bell-Irving River, Ben Ginter Construction Company Limited, \$226,513.54; South Bell-Irving River-North Bell-Irving River, The Cattermole Trethewey Contractors Limited, \$694,108.80; and Bell-Irving River Bridge No. 1, Benray Bridge Company Limited, \$6,349.32."

**107** Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to the Federal Government financial share of the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road:—

1. How much of this money, if any, was expended in the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road in 1965/66?

2. How much of this money, if any, remains to be expended?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

"1. \$432,636.84.

"2. \$695,349.13."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

**Thursday, February 10, 1966**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. N. S. Noel.

On the motion of Mr. Barrett, Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Training-schools Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the Motion of Mr. Nimsick, Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

The motion was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

Lundell	Price	Vogel	Huhn
Speare	Brothers	MacSorley	Loffmark
Smith	Kiernan	LeCours	Campbell
Carnell	Williston	Chabot	Chant
Robinson	Bennett	Skillings	Peterson
Tisdalle	Bonner	Little	Martin
Bruch	Black	Jefcoat	Richter
Shelford			

NAYS—18

Messieurs

McGeer	Dowding	Macfarlane	Squire
Gibson	Gargrave	Nimsick	Harding
Stupich	Barrett	Haggen, Mrs.	Strachan
Calder	McKay	Macdonald	Turner
Eddie	Perrault		

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, seconded by the Hon. R. W. Bonner, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty, and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of.

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, seconded by the Hon. R. W. Bonner, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider of the Ways and Means for raising the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty.

Motion agreed to.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Civil Service Commission Forty-seventh Annual Report, January 1 to December 31, 1965.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.24 p.m.

---

## Friday, February 11, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. E. King*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fair Employment Practices Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Public Accounts for the Fiscal Year 1964/65 be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Report of the Comptroller-General, pursuant to the provisions of the *Audit Act*, chapter 22, R.S.B.C. 1960.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith:—

Estimates of sums required for the service of the Province for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1967;

Schedule A. Sums required by Her Majesty to make good certain sums expended for the public service for the period ended March 31, 1965, and to indemnify the several officers and persons for making such expenditure; and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Estimates accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee of Supply.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* moved, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Services Tax Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Services Tax Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.



The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Ferry Authority Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Ferry Authority Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 9, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company Statement of Accounts as at December 31, 1965.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* (Minister of Agriculture) presented the Department of Agriculture Sixtieth Annual Report, 1965.

**111** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

Has any study been made by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority as to what effect there will be on development of fish, wildlife, and recreation by the (a) construction of the Portage Mountain Dam and subsequent lake, and (b) construction and development of the Columbia River project and subsequent dam or dams, and lake or lakes?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“(a) Some surveys have been made on the probable effect of the Portage Mountain Dam, and subsequent lake, on fish and wildlife. Detailed studies have not been made and would prove costly, and the benefits from these are open to question in terms of corrective action that could be undertaken at this time. The main effects will involve the loss of big-game winter range and waterfowl habitat. It is anticipated that a worth-while fishery for such species as Dolly Varden, rainbow trout, whitefish, and kokanee could develop. This will depend, however, on the extent to which a partially cleared reservoir will physically permit both commercial and sport fishing.

“(b) Studies have been conducted in respect to the Mica, Arrow, and Duncan dams and reports have been prepared. Resulting from the Duncan River study, a large-scale project is currently under way on the Lardeau River to compensate for the loss of kokanee spawning-grounds on the Duncan River. This involves construction of an artificial spawning-channel. Total project costs about three-quarters of a million dollars. In respect to wildlife, there will be a loss of habitat mainly for game animals and waterfowl. It is hoped that the effects of the Duncan Dam can be offset by increasing attention to the development of the Duck Lake area, which is now covered by a 11,000-acre reserve for wildlife-management purposes. The present hydro projects will not likely affect big-game hunting in British Columbia to a measurable degree. This may not be the case, however, if many additional projects are carried out without adequate provisions for the mitigation of wildlife losses.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.27 p.m.

**Monday, February 14, 1966**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Victor E. Propp*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 15, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 15, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 15, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 15, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, seconded by Mr. *Price*, it was *Ordered*,—

That a Special Committee be appointed to examine, investigate, study, and report on all matters relating to the provisions of the *Dental Technicians Act* and the determination of the proper role to be filled by dental laboratory technicians and by dental mechanics with particular reference to the delineation of services which may be provided by each, consistent with sound public health policy, and to hear representations from any organization or individual wishing to advise the Committee and to make such findings and recommendations as are deemed advisable with respect thereto, and that the Special Committee appointed to name the Select Standing Committees prepare and report with all convenient speed a list of members to compose this Special Committee.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the Milk Board Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 1965.

**14** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1965 and, if so, in what total amount?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount of those bonds floated?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes, \$30,000,000.

"2. \$30,000,000."

**15** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to amounts paid by the Federal Government under the *Unemployment Assistance Act*, what was the amount paid to the Province of British Columbia under terms of this Act in the year 1964/65?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“\$17,635,518.29.”

**16** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Tax Sale Suspense Fund:—

1. What was the total amount paid into this Fund in 1964/65?

2. Has any money paid into this Fund since 1951/52 been transferred to general revenue and, if so, how much?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. \$139,387.99.

“2. No.”

**17** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1965?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Unorganized rural components of school districts and 1965 school requisitions: No. 1, Fernie, \$364,127; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$209,392; No. 3, Kimberley, \$476,838; No. 4, Windermere, \$202,124; No. 5, Creston, \$268,257; No. 6, Kootenay Lake, \$66,882; No. 7, Nelson, \$442,117; No. 8, Slocan, \$170,555; No. 9, Castlegar, \$730,272; No. 10, Arrow Lakes, \$166,130; No. 11, Trail, \$420,884; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$136,972; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$98,668; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$267,079; No. 15, Penticton, \$114,860; No. 16, Keremeos, \$69,680; No. 17, Princeton, \$83,125; No. 18, Golden, \$103,626; No. 19, Revelstoke, \$115,183; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$280,436; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$8; No. 22, Vernon, \$253,727; No. 23, Kelowna, \$632,583; No. 24, Kamloops, \$1,092,022; No. 25, Barriere, \$104,777; No. 26, Birch Island, \$221,055; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$667,380; No. 28, Quesnel, \$462,799; No. 29, Lillooet, \$556,622; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$251,040; No. 31, Merritt, \$279,610; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$246,916; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$149,607; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$9,594; No. 36, Surrey, \$6,267; No. 38, Richmond, \$293; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$19,066; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$742,131; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$3,982; No. 45, West Vancouver, \$33,610; No. 46, Sechelt, \$799,596; No. 47, Powell River, \$376,806; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$629,873; No. 49, Ocean Falls, \$536,279; No. 50, Queen Charlotte, \$122,792; No. 51, Portland Canal, \$15,068; No. 52, Prince Rupert, \$555,480; No. 53, Terrace, \$144,750; No. 54, Smithers, \$76,954; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$105,261; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$176,227; No. 57, Prince George, \$943,896; No. 58, McBride, \$153,509; No. 59, Peace River South, \$383,919; No. 60, Peace River North, \$970,717; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$142,387; No. 62, Sooke, \$749,945; No. 63, Saanich, \$239,027; No.

64, Gulf Islands, \$321,446; No. 65, Cowichan, \$448,825; No. 66, Lake Cowichan, \$652,639; No. 67, Ladysmith, \$141,257; No. 68, Nanaimo, \$1,793,490; No. 69, Qualicum, \$286,302; No. 70, Alberni, \$533,172; No. 71, Courtenay, \$556,579; No. 72, Campbell River, \$465,120; No. 75, Mission, \$115,075; No. 76, Agassiz, \$69,392; No. 77, Summerland, \$9,292; No. 78, Enderby, \$62,218; No. 79, Ucluelet-Tofino, \$117,128; No. 81, Fort Nelson, \$170,112; No. 82, Chilcotin, \$24,307; No. 83, Portage Mountain, \$139,891; No. 84, Vancouver Island West, \$157,013; and No. 85, Vancouver Island North, \$610,115.

"Unattached, 1965 school requisitions: Atlin, \$3,645; Bamfield, \$7,098; Belmont Park, \$56; Comox Airport, \$104; Good Hope Lake, \$20; Lower Post, \$616; McDame Creek, \$33,707; Telegraph Creek, \$902; University Hill, \$181,801; total, \$24,544,104."

**33** Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company's "Traffic Accounts Receivable" as recorded in the Journals of the House, 1960, page 163:—

1. Has the amount of \$30,605 outstanding against Laird Distributors Limited, known now as Pacific Western Distributors, been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the negative, (a) what is the total amount paid to date on this specific account and (b) has any portion of the account been written off by the railway company?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. (a) \$12,250 and (b) no."

**34** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following question:—

With reference to the financial statement of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway for the year ended December 31, 1964, page 31, payment of \$10,238.28 to H. Gentles, what were the specific services rendered for this payment and upon what date or dates was this money paid?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

"January 27, 345 fir ties, \$618.57; April 14, 1,544 fir ties, \$2,810.02; June 24, 1,575 fir ties, \$2,989.66; August 17, 1,328 fir and pine ties, \$2,536.19; November 20, 682 fir ties, \$1,283.84; total, \$10,238.28."

**35** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the British Columbia Toll Authority ferry system:—

1. Were free travel facility passes provided on the ferries to any persons other than members of the Legislature during 1965 and 1966?

2. If the answer to any part of No. 1 is yes, what were the names of the persons provided with passes in each relevant year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. See answer to Question No. 59, page 48, Journals of the Legislative Assembly, 1965. No change except Mr. J. M. Donald replaces Mr. W. R. Tinsley, assistant marine superintendent; Mr. T. F. Annan replaces Mr. E. Geldart, assistant superintendent engineer; Mr. A. S. Lukinuk replaces Mr. M. M. Duke, administrative officer; and the following have been added: Capt. E. P. de Cunha, catering superintendent; Mr. J. Smithson, upholsterer; Mr. C. F. Jenkins, electrician; Mr. A. E. Nelson, refrigeration technician; Mr. N. Prescott, radioman.

“All permanent employees of the British Columbia Ferry Authority and their immediate families are allowed one return pass per month for travel on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays.”

**37** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in the placing of the Peace River Power Development Company under public ownership and the Premier's answer to Question No. 55 recorded in the Journals of 1965:—

1. Have any further costs been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount paid to date and (b) what are the names of the individuals or firms who received these moneys and what amounts were they paid?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. No.”

**38** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in placing the British Columbia Electric Company under public ownership and the Premier's answer to Question No. 64 on this subject recorded in the Journals of 1965:—

1. Have any further costs been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount paid to date, (b) what are the names of the individuals or firms who received these moneys and in what amounts were they paid, and (c) is the total amount now paid the final amount?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. No.”

**49** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to gasoline tax revenue, during the period April 1, 1965, to December 31, 1965, what was the estimated percentage of this tax yield which derived from (a) the City and District of North Vancouver, (b) the Municipality of West Vancouver, (c) the City of Vancouver, (d) the Municipality of Burnaby, and (e) the City of New Westminster?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Not known.”



**58** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, in the period from January 1, 1965, to December 31, 1965, by months, how many (a) residential and (b) commercial power disconnections were made by B.C. Hydro because of delinquent accounts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“(a) and (b). Disconnections in 1965 were as follows: January, 386; February, 615; March, 755; April, 636; May, 791; June, 764; July, 629; August, 428; September, 588; October, 400; November, 475; and December, 386.

“No breakdown by residential and commercial power accounts is available.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

## Tuesday, February 15, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. Kerley*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 15, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. W. K. Kiernan presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,  
*Administrator.*

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 15, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:—

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. Matthew—Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Radiological Technique and Respecting Radiological Technicians*.

On the motion of Mr. MacSorley—Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. Skillings—Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Professional Engineering*.

On the motion of Mr. Skillings—Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited*.

On the motion of Mr. Matthew—Bill (No. 57) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. Speare—Bill (No. 58) intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*.

On the motion of Mr. Vogel—Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*.

On the motion of Mr. Bruch—Bill (No. 60) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Major Life & Casualty Company of Canada*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. Skillings, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

---

## Tuesday, February 15, 1966

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. D. L. Brothers, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.18 p.m.

## Wednesday, February 16, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. A. Wright*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Survivorship and Presumption of Death Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plans Cancellation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Special Surveys Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Annual Return for the calendar year 1965 submitted in accordance with section 53 of the *Administration Act, Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960*.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

Thursday, February 17, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Capt. *Donald McMillan*.

Mr. Speaker read the following letter from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor:—

*"The 16th of February, 1966,*

*"At Government House, Victoria, B.C.*

"Dear Mr. Speaker,

"When I was in the Vernon Jubilee Hospital you very kindly notified me that the Legislature had passed a motion expressing the desire for my speedy recovery. At the time, Mrs. Pearkes acknowledged your message.

"Now that I have returned to Victoria, may I take this opportunity of thanking, through you, all the members of the Legislature for their concern and consideration in the matter of my health.

"While in the Vernon Jubilee Hospital I received every possible care and, after a few days there, returned to Government House on the 14th of February. I am feeling very much better now. I am sure that the knowledge that the members of the Legislature were pulling for my recovery helped in the restoration of my health.

"With kindest regards,

"Yours sincerely,

"GEORGE R. PEARKES."

"*The Honourable William H. Murray,*

*"Office of the Speaker,*

*"Parliament Buildings, Victoria, B.C."*

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. R. W. Bonner—Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trustees Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. W. K. Kiernan—Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fisheries Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. W. K. Kiernan, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Turner, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Strachan, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended to permit the moving of the following motion:—

Moved by the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell*, seconded by the Hon. *R. G. Williston*,—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters to inquire into the adaptation of expanding regional districts toward undertaking air-pollution controls in co-operation with the Provincial Government and to further study the following aspects of introducing such controls:—

- (a) A study directed toward a proper classification of detrimental air pollution;
- (b) A study of existing technology in terms of its on-site installation;
- (c) A study of existing air-pollution control installations in terms of effectiveness and efficiency;
- (d) A study of existing technology in terms of establishing enforceable by-laws;
- (e) A study of the nature of Provincial air-pollution control structure necessary to correlate available technology to co-ordinate on-site inspections and to give aid in the drafting of enforceable regional by-laws.

A debate arose.

Mr. Strachan moved, seconded by Mr. Turner, that the motion be amended by adding the following words:—

"And be it further Resolved, That the said Committee report to the Legislative Assembly, as soon as possible, their findings and recommendations on the aforesaid and specified matters."

A debate arose.

The amendment was negatived.

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

Mr. *Macfarlane* moved the adjournment of the debate.

The motion was negatived.

The Speaker's attention having been drawn to the fact that the hour of 6 o'clock had arrived, he announced that he would return at 8.30 o'clock.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

---

### Thursday, February 17, 1966

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.02 p.m.

---

### Friday, February 18, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Bishop *Fergus O'Grady*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The Speaker's attention having been drawn to the fact that the hour of 6 o'clock had arrived, he announced that he would return at 8 o'clock.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Friday, February 18, 1966

---

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the Legislative Assembly that he had received a certificate from the Registrar of the British Columbia Court of Appeal, which certificate he read to the House, as follows:—

No. 13/66.

COURT OF APPEAL

IN THE MATTER OF THE PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS ACT  
R.S.B.C. 1960, CHAP. 306

and

IN THE MATTER OF THE ELECTION IN THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF YALE,  
BRITISH COLUMBIA, HELD ON THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1963

Between:

WILLIAM LEONARD HARTLEY

*Respondent (Appellant).*

And:

THOMAS WHEATLY HARVEY  
MARK LEONARD PRETTY  
JOHN REID  
ARTHUR JAMES RYAN

*Petitioners (Respondents).*

I, Bernard Joseph Burke Morahan, Registrar of the Court of Appeal, hereby certify pursuant to section 239 of the *Provincial Elections Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960, chap. 306, that in a Judgment pronounced the 16th day of February, 1966, the Court of Appeal did allow the appeal from the Judgment of the Honourable Mr. Justice Ruttan, dated the 10th day of January, 1966, and did set aside the said Judgment and further did dismiss the cross appeal and the election petition herein.

And I further certify that in the said Judgment made the said 16th day of February, 1966, the Honourable Court did find as follows:—

- (1) That the respondent petitioners did not prove that six unlawful votes were cast in the Lower Nicola Polling Division:
- (2) That the learned Trial Judge found that there were three other votes illegally cast but that it was not proven that the number of votes so illegally cast exceeded the appellant Hartley's majority:
- (3) That completion of the list of voters as certified under section 26 of the *Provincial Elections Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960, chap. 306, is conclusive as to the residential qualifications of a person registered on that list as a voter:
- (4) That in the opinion of the Court of Appeal on the material before it the appellant William Leonard Hartley was duly elected as member for the Electoral District of Yale.

The Court which heard the within appeal was constituted as follows: The Honourable Mr. Justice Davey, presiding; the Honourable Mr. Justice Norris; and the Honourable Mr. Justice Maclean.

Witness my hand and the Seal of the said Court at Victoria, B.C., this 18th day of February, 1966.

COURT OF APPEAL  
SEAL  
BRITISH COLUMBIA

B. J. B. MORAHAN,  
*Registrar.*



On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the certificate of the Registrar of the Court of Appeal dated the 18th day of February, 1966, communicated to the House by Mr. Speaker, be entered in the Journals.

Motion agreed to.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That Mr. Speaker do issue to the Deputy Provincial Secretary a Writ of Superseas to the Warrant issued by Mr. Speaker on the 17th day of January, 1966, for the issue of a new writ for the election of a member for the Electoral District of Yale.

Motion agreed to.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by the Hon. *W. D. Black*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Rules be suspended and the debate upon the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply be resumed as left at 6 p.m. today.

The debate resumed on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* moved the adjournment of the debate.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

#### YEAS—24

##### Messieurs

*Smith*  
*Carnell*  
*Robinson*  
*Tisdalle*  
*Shelford*  
*Price*

*Brothers*  
*Kiernan*  
*Bennett*  
*Bonner*  
*Black*  
*Vogel*

*MacSorley*  
*Chabot*  
*Skillings*  
*Little*  
*Jefcoat*  
*Huhn*

*Loffmark*  
*Campbell*  
*Chant*  
*Peterson*  
*Martin*  
*Richter*

#### NAYS—14

##### Messieurs

*Gibson*  
*Stupich*  
*Hartley*  
*Eddie*

*Dowding*  
*Gargrave*  
*Perrault*  
*Macfarlane*

*Nimsick*  
*Haggen, Mrs.*  
*Squire*

*Harding*  
*Strachan*  
*Turner*

#### PAIRS:

##### Messieurs

*Speare*  
*Matthew*  
*Gaglardi*

*McGeer*  
*Barrett*  
*McKay*

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act*.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Special Surveys Act*.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 9.33 p.m.

---

### Monday, February 21, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *C. H. Butler*.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

*Honourable Members*,—At the opening of the Session on Friday last the Honourable the Leader of the Opposition rose on a point of order inquiring as to the disposition of the notice of motion moved by the Honourable *D. R. J. Campbell* on February 17, 1966.

On February 17 when the House adjourned at 6 p.m., the motion in question was being debated and as the Speaker's attention had been drawn to the hour he announced that he would leave the chair and would return at 8.30 o'clock, and accordingly the debate on the motion was not adjourned nor was the motion negatived nor passed.

Sir Erskine May's *Parliamentary Practice*, 17th edition, page 388, states as follows: "When an order of the day has been read, the proceedings thereon may be cut short by the adjournment of the House whilst those proceedings are in the course of transaction. An order of the day in such cases (or if, when the order is read, no day is appointed for its future consideration), drops off the notice paper as the House has made no order thereon."

Accordingly the motion in question did not appear on the Orders of the Day at the next sitting of the House.

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Matthew*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 22, 1966**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *M. Costello*.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 18, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 18, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 22, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, (Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, on behalf of the Hon. *W. N. Chant*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Pursuant to Order, the Hon. R. W. Bonner presented the following report:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Special Committee appointed on January 27th to prepare and report lists of members to compose the Select Standing Committees of this House for the present Session begs to report and recommend that the personnel of the Special Committee to be appointed to examine, investigate, study, and report on all matters relating to the provisions of the *Dental Technicians Act*, be as follows:—

Messrs. Chabot (Convener), Jefcoat, Lundell, Price, Shelford, Speare, Tisdalle, Vogel, Hartley, Stupich, Turner, and McGeer.

Respectfully submitted.

R. W. BONNER, *Chairman*.

The report was taken as read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

**31** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Montebello Auto Court:—

1. Was any of the land upon which this auto court rested, prior to the purchase by the Government, owned by the Crown?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the area of Crown land, (b) what was the area of the privately owned land, and (c) was the Crown land involved leased to the Montebello Auto Court?

3. If the answer to No. 3 (c) is yes, what were the terms of the lease prior to the Government's purchase of the auto court?

The Hon. P. A. Gagliardi replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) 0.551 acre, (b) 2.29 acres, more or less, and (c) leased to Joseph J. DiMarzo.

"3. (a) Twenty-five-year lease from April 1, 1958, with right of renewal for a further three years, (b) \$10 per annum, payable April 1st each year, (c) lessee responsible for payment of taxes, (d) no buildings or other structures to be erected without permission of Department, (e) consent of lessor required to assign or sublet, (f) lessee responsible for all costs in connection with construction and maintenance of the auto court, and (g) lease may be terminated upon 90 days' notice at any time after execution."

**122** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Municipal Affairs:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) and (b) *Nil*.

“2. Answered by No. 1.

“The Department of Municipal Affairs, at the request of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities, provides the general administration of licensing of commercial vehicles in municipalities and by agreement retains \$3,000 per annum from the proceeds of licence fees as a partial offset of the costs involved.”

**123** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) \$695,349.13 and (b) *nil*.

“2. (a) Vote No. 236, Construction of Mining-roads, \$695,349.13, assistance on the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road, and (b) *nil*.

“NOTE.—The sum of \$695,349.13 is the amount which remains to be paid under the agreement with the Federal Government and is the maximum that can be recovered under the terms of the existing agreement on the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road.”

**134** Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

How many motor-vehicles were carried on the Gabriola ferry each year for 1961, 1963, and 1965?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1961, 13,443; 1963, 20,100; and 1965, 31,555.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.53 p.m.

## Tuesday, February 22, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.30 p.m.

---

## Wednesday, February 23, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. *E. A. Morris*.

On the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers* the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macfarlane* Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act Respecting Proceedings against the Crown* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Mr. *Macdonald* asked leave of the House to move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance; he submitted the following motion and made a statement in connection therewith:—

Pursuant to Standing Order 35 (1) I move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the urgent necessity of breaking the stranglehold on the growth of the Lower Mainland by the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, and its refusal, neglect, and obstruction of every effort to develop or improve the waterfront of the Port of Vancouver.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the matter did not come within the scope of Standing Order 35 for the reason that it was not a matter of administrative responsibility of the Government. (*See May*, 16th edition, page 369.)

An appeal was taken from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The ruling was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—34

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>		

NAYS—14

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>		

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.



Thursday, February 24, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Bishop D. A. G. Rankilor.

The Hon. W. K. Kiernan presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 23, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. L. R. Peterson presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 23, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 23, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 23, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Provide Legal Aid and Advice* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act*.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trustee Act*.

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, on behalf of the Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary), presented the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements of the Capital Improvement District Commission for the year ended March 31, 1965.

**60** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were there any supervisors employed in the Social Welfare Department who lacked an M.S.W. degree in the calendar year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?

3. Were there any social workers, exclusive of supervisors, employed in the Social Welfare Department who lacked a university degree in the calendar year 1965?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. 37.

"3. Yes.

"4. 102."

**61** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the total number of social workers in the Social Welfare Branch, by regions, as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Region 1, 43; Region 2, 71½; Region 3, 40½; Region 4, 29½; Region 5, 29½; Region 6, 43; Region 7, 21; Region 8, 15; and total, 293.

“NOTE.—½ denotes part time.”

**62** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of Old-age Assistance, Old Age Security, and Supplementary Social Allowance:—

1. Are there any recipients of Old-age Assistance who are not in receipt of Supplementary Social Allowance?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, do any of these recipients hold valid health services identity cards and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Yes, 837.”

**63** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1965 and (b) for the complete calendar year of 1965?

2. How many boards were set up in 1965?

3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1965 (a) after hearing by a board of review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?

4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) January, *nil*; February, 3; March, 3; April, 1; May, 1; June, 1; July, 1; August, 3; September, *nil*; October, 3; November, 1; December, 3; and (b) 20.

“2. 20.

“3. (a) 17 and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a board of review.

“4. Abbotsford, 1; Haney, 1; Lillooet, 1; North Surrey, 3; North Vancouver, 1; Prince George, 1; Saanich, 1; Sardis, 1; Summerland, 1; Vancouver City, 3; and Victoria City, 6.”

**64** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What were the total case loads by regions in the Social Welfare Department as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Region 1, 10,633; Region 2, 33,563; Region 3, 9,400; Region 4, 5,527; Region 5, 4,120; Region 6, 11,069; Region 7, 2,515; and Region 8, 1,928.”

**65** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

Were any individuals given in-service training by the Social Welfare Department training division during 1965 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Initial training was given to 51 individuals and advanced training to 48.”

**67** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the number of social workers employed by the Social Welfare Department as at December 31, 1965:—

1. What was the total number employed?
2. How many of the total number employed held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?
3. How many were employed in the field exclusive of administrators and supervisors?
4. How many of those in No. 3 were not in possession of B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “1. 333.
- “2. 95.
- “3. 273.
- “4. 221.”

**70** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to social workers employed by the Social Welfare Department as at December 31, 1965:—

1. How many were (a) Grade 1 and (b) Grade 2 social workers?
2. How many Grade 1 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?
3. How many Grade 2 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “1. (a) 120, comprised of 117 full-time and 3 part-time social workers, and (b) 124, comprised of 120 full-time and 4 part-time social workers.
- “2. (a) 54, (b) 46, (c) 16, (d) 2, (e) 2, and total, 120.
- “3. (a) 21, (b) 13, (c) 5, (d) 14, (e) 15, and total, 68 plus 56 over 5 years, totalling 124.”

**72** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. How many children who are wards of the Government are awaiting adoption?

2. How many children under 1 year who are in Government care (ward and non-ward) are awaiting adoption and what is the *per diem* cost for such children?

3. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were made last year?

4. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were processed last year, (a) how many of these were accepted as suitable parents and (b) how many of the suitable parents are still awaiting a child?

5. What is the cost per month to care for a child under age 1 year while awaiting adoption?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. 134.

“ 2. 97 at \$3.68 *per diem*.

“ 3. 876.

“ 4. 1,333, (a) 1,071 and (b) 32.

“ 5. \$110.37 (average).”

**73** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to payments of supplementary social assistance:—

1. Are any recipients in receipt of pay at the present time?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many receive the maximum allowance of \$24?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 30,437 and (b) approximately 18,900 receiving the maximum of \$30 per month (maximum supplementary assistance paid is now \$30, not \$24).”

**74** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of social assistance:—

1. Were any recipients referred for vocational training or retraining during 1964 and 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many recipients were referred and (b) how many completed training or retraining?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) During the 12-month period ended July 1, 1965, a total number of 426 persons receiving social assistance were given training under Programmes 5 and 6, and (b) 181 of these persons completed their training courses but many left courses early to take offered employment.”

**75** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With reference to medical identity cards issued to recipients of social assistance, excluding supplementary social assistance paid to pensioners, how many persons were eligible for health services as at November 30, 1965, or at the latest date available?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“At November 30, 1965, there were 42,581 persons eligible for health services, excluding those who are eligible by reason of being in receipt of Old-age Assistance, Blind Persons’ Allowance, Disabled Persons’ Allowance, and Old Age Security plus Supplementary Social Allowance.”

**76** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to grants of social assistance made between April 1, 1965, and December 31, 1965:—

1. How many individuals were granted Social Allowance?
2. What was the case load and total number of recipients on a monthly basis?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. Social Allowance granted and case load on a monthly basis was as follows:—

	Social Allowance Case Load	Total Number of Recipients of Social Allowance
April.....	27,571	59,846
May.....	27,654	60,168
June.....	27,864	60,378
July.....	26,753	58,019
August.....	27,010	57,672
September.....	26,609	57,496
October.....	26,562	56,807
November.....	28,034	60,047 ”

**77** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any cases referred to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator of the Public Health Branch by the Social Welfare Branch during the calendar year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many of these cases were successfully rehabilitated during the year?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) 128 and (b) 41.”

**78** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to grants for children’s school supplies for the school-year commencing September, 1965:—

1. Were grants to families receiving social assistance made available?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the available amount for each child and (b) were all eligible parents notified of the availability of the grant and, if so, when and how were they notified?

3. Did any parents apply for grants?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many grants were applied for, (b) what was the total expenditure on these grants, and (c) how many children did the total grants cover?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Up to \$10 per child and (b) all parents who appeared to be in need and eligible were notified by letter, telephone, or personal contact.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. (a) 4,741, (b) \$105,827.70, and (c) 11,515.”

**85** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to the *Medical Grants Act* Stabilization Fund:—

1. Have any payments been made from this Fund?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who have the payments been made to and in what amounts?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable.”

**86** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to the *Medical Grants Act* and the British Columbia Medical Plan statistics, to the latest date available:—

1. How many actual applications, including any necessary premium payments, have been received?

2. What is the total number of persons, excluding dependents, now covered under the family rate?

3. What is the total number of single persons covered under the single rate?

4. What is the total number of dependents covered?

5. Have any of the persons mentioned in Nos. 2 and 3 applied for and received a premium subsidy of either 25 per cent or 50 per cent?

6. If the answer to any part of No. 5 is yes, how many families have received a premium subsidy of (a) 25 per cent or (b) 50 per cent, and how many single persons have received a premium subsidy of (c) 25 per cent or (d) 50 per cent?

7. With reference to No. 4, were any of these persons previously covered by other forms of prepaid medical care and, if so, how many?

8. How many of the total number of persons covered come within the following age-groups: (a) 1 to 20, (b) 21 to 30, (c) 31 to 49, (d) 50 to 65, (e) 66 to 75, (f) 76 to 86, (g) 87 to 90, and (h) 90 and over?

9. How many claims have been processed to date?

10. What was the average claim payment per family receiving benefit?

11. What is the total payment made for insured services?

12. What is the average payment per service?

13. What is the *per capita* cost of insured services?

14. What is the *per capita* administration cost?



15. What percentage of the total costs was for administration?
16. Were any payments for insured services made direct to physicians and, if so, what was the total amount paid in this manner?
17. Were any payments for insured services made direct to patients and, if so, what was the total amount paid in this manner?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“As at February 1, 1966:—

- “1. 100,105.
- “2. 26,874 with one dependent, \$10 rate, 20,824 with more than one dependent, \$12.50 rate, totalling 47,698 subscribers.
- “3. 48,246 subscribe only to \$5 rate.
- “4. 102,290 dependents.
- “5. Yes.
- “6. (a) 6,992, including two-person contracts; (b) 19,888, including two-person contracts; (c) 5,654 one-person contracts; and (d) 31,828 one-person contracts.
- “7. Answer not available; this question is not asked on the application form.
- “8. (a) 57,552 (1–20); (b) 11,280 (21–30); (c) 31,322 (31–49); (d) 37,450 (50–65); (e) 31,719 (66–75); (f) 23,751 (76–86); (g) 1,962 (87–90); (h) 739 (over 90); and (i) 2,459 (no birth date).
- “9. 176,832 services.
- “10. \$33.98 average claim payment per subscriber receiving benefit.
- “11. \$1,156,236.71.
- “12. \$6.54.
- “13. \$3.58 paid to January 31, 1966, for services rendered in September, 1965, for total population of 107,877 covered in September, 1965.
- “14. 34 cents per month.
- “15. 7.9 per cent.
- “16. Yes, \$1,154,971.59.
- “17. Yes, for services outside British Columbia, \$1,265.12.”

**124** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?
2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. In his Budget Speech on February 11th last, the Honourable the Premier in the last two lines of page 26 stated that ‘each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote . . .’”

**137** Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following question:—

Is the sale of any Crown land in British Columbia subject to a smoke or pollution clause and, if so, (a) in what areas does this apply and (b) by what authority is this being done?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“There is no generally applied procedure in the disposition of Crown lands which places restriction on title in respect to use involving smoke or other pollution. It is considered control in this respect is the proper function of other authority.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

**Thursday, February 24, 1966**

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *McKay*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.13 p.m.

Friday, February 25, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Lloyd Mackey*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—26

Messieurs

<i>Speare</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>		

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY)

---

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Services Tax Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—40

Messieurs

McGeer	Haggen, Mrs.	Bruch	Skillings
Gibson	Squire	Shelford	Little
Stupich	Harding	Price	Jefcoat
Hartley	Strachan	Brothers	Huhn
Eddie	Turner	Kiernan	Loffmark
Dowding	Speare	Bennett	Campbell
McKay	Smith	Bonner	Chant
Perrault	Carnell	Black	Peterson
Macfarlane	Robinson	Vogel	Martin
Nimsick	Tisdalle	MacSorley	Richter

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 25, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 25, 1966.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. W. K. Kiernan presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 25, 1966.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

**4** Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to the cost of school textbooks in British Columbia:—

1. What was the total cost to the Government for textbooks in the fiscal year 1964/65?
2. What was the average textbook cost per pupil in the fiscal year 1964/65?
3. What were the names of the companies or individuals supplying the textbooks, and what amount was paid to each relevant company or individual?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$1,329,073.80.

“ 2. \$3.19.

“ 3. Appleton-Century Crofts, \$2,981.25; Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Incorporated, \$135,450; Bellhaven House, \$62,232.07; Book Society, \$1,500; Clarke, Irwin & Company Limited, \$129,122.26; Collier-Macmillan (Canada) Limited, \$54,292.49; Copp Clark Publishing Company Limited, \$436,569.34; Clarke & Stuart Company Limited, \$43,448.74; J. M. Dent & Sons (Canada) Limited, \$162,343.45; Evergreen Press Limited, \$13,563.80; W. J. Gage Limited, \$261,232.70; General Publishing Company Limited, \$6,597.50; Ginn & Company, \$104,664.28; Glasgow & Baker, \$8,450; Harper Brothers, \$480; Holt, Rinehart & Winston Company of Canada Limited, \$17,299.94; Institute of Applied Arts, \$1,925; Longman's Canada Limited, \$88,383; Musson Book Company, \$5,778.75; McAinsh & Company, \$76; The Macmillan Company of Canada Limited, \$285,423.38; McGraw-Hill Company of Canada Limited, \$51,937; McClelland & Stewart, \$17,087; Thomas Nelson & Sons (Canada) Limited, \$19,160.83; Oxford University Press, \$2,868.75; Sir Isaac Pitman & Sons Canada Limited, \$23,819.40; Prentice-Hall Incorporated, \$280.80; Queen's Printer, \$2,452; Ronald Press, \$148.75; The Ryerson Press, \$34,768.51; Saunders of Toronto, \$14,500; St. John Ambulance, \$832; Schaum Publishing Company, \$1,375; Waterloo Music Company Limited, \$2,730; University of British Columbia Book Store, \$376.50.”

**7** Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to vocational schools:—

1. How many persons applied for entry in 1965 and how many were accepted and undertook training?
2. Were any applicants rejected as unsuitable for training in 1965 and, if so, how many?
3. Were any applicants rejected because of a lack of training facilities in 1965 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) In 1965 a total of 14,460 persons applied for training, and (b) of that number, 9,580 accepted and undertook regular full-time day training.

“ 2. This information is not readily available in that students are not categorically rejected at the vocational schools but rather counselled and guided, wherever possible into a training programme that will lead to employment in a field best suited to their individual mental, emotional, and physical capabilities.

“ 3. In most courses operated at the vocational schools the present facilities could accommodate a larger enrolment; however, in some of the more popular

courses there are waiting lists. Advisory committees normally determine intake in line with job vacancies in order that over-training in one area does not result in trained personnel being unemployed."

**81** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. What is the planned opening date for the vocational school at Dawson Creek?

2. What is the estimated enrolment of students for opening of classes?

3. What subjects will be taught at the school?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

"1. The planned opening date for the vocational school at Dawson Creek is September 6, 1966, for some programmes. It is hoped that all programmes will be available to the public by November 1, 1966; however, this will be dependent on the progress of the contractors.

"2. The estimated enrolment by November 1, 1966, is 360 day students plus additional students in up-grading courses at night as is needed by the community.

"3. The subjects to be taught initially at the school are: Agriculture (farm management, marketing, soils and fertilizers, herbicides, etc.); automotive repair; basic training for skill development (vocational preparation in maths., science, and communicative skills of English); building construction, millwork, and joinery; cooks and chefs training; general commercial and business machines; heavy-duty and farm machinery mechanics; secretarial practice; and welding (general and specialized)."

**119** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Recreation and Conservation:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) and (b) *Nil*.

"2. (a) and (b) *See above*.

"To the best of my knowledge it is not anticipated at the present time that this Department will receive any funds for credit to any vote in this Department from the Government of Canada or municipalities."

**131** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Commercial Transport the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Commercial Transport:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“See Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence: ‘Each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote, if necessary.’”

**132** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of the Attorney-General:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“See Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence: ‘Each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote, if necessary.’”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

---

## Monday, February 28, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. David Metzger.

The Hon. W. D. Black presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 28, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.



## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 28, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 28, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 28, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution-control Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the notice for leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*, standing on the Order Paper in his name, was withdrawn.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Macdonald, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

# YEAS—45

## Messieurs

McGeer	Haggen, Mrs.	Tisdalle	MacSorley
Gibson	Macdonald	Matthew	Skillings
Stupich	Squire	Bruch	Little
Calder	Harding	Shelford	Jefcoat
Hartley	Strachan	Price	Huhn
Eddie	Turner	Brothers	Loffmark
Dowding	Lundell	Kiernan	Campbell
Gargrave	Speare	Bennett	Chant
Barrett	Smith	Bonner	Peterson
Perrault	Carnell	Black	Martin
Macfarlane	Robinson	Vogel	Richter
Nimsick			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Ferry Authority Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

# YEAS—46

## Messieurs

McGeer	Macdonald	Bruch	Chabot
Gibson	Squire	Shelford	Skillings
Stupich	Harding	Price	Little
Calder	Strachan	Brothers	Jefcoat
Hartley	Turner	Kiernan	Huhn
Eddie	Lundell	Bennett	Loffmark
Gargrave	Speare	Bonner	Campbell
Barrett	Smith	Black	Chant
Perrault	Carnell	Vogel	Peterson
Macfarlane	Robinson	MacSorley	Martin
Nimsick	Tisdalle	LeCours	Richter
Haggen, Mrs.	Matthew		

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—46

Messieurs

McGeer	Nimsick	Tisdalle	LeCours
Gibson	Haggen, Mrs.	Bruch	Chabot
Stupich	Macdonald	Shelford	Skillings
Calder	Squire	Price	Jefcoat
Hartley	Harding	Brothers	Huhn
Eddie	Strachan	Kiernan	Loffmark
Dowding	Turner	Bennett	Campbell
Gargrave	Lundell	Bonner	Chant
Barrett	Speare	Black	Peterson
McKay	Smith	Vogel	Martin
Perrault	Carnell	MacSorley	Richter
Macfarlane	Robinson		

NAYS—1

Mr. Little

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—47

Messieurs

McGeer	Nimsick	Tisdalle	Chabot
Gibson	Haggen, Mrs.	Bruch	Skillings
Stupich	Macdonald	Shelford	Little
Calder	Squire	Price	Jefcoat
Hartley	Harding	Brothers	Huhn
Eddie	Strachan	Kiernan	Loffmark
Dowding	Turner	Bennett	Campbell
Gargrave	Lundell	Bonner	Chant
Barrett	Speare	Black	Peterson
McKay	Smith	Vogel	Martin
Perrault	Carnell	MacSorley	Richter
Macfarlane	Robinson	LeCours	

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.